IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

ARTHUR GLENN ANDERSON,	
AIS #107331,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:04-CV-1116-F
DONAL CAMPBELL, et al.,)
Defendants.)

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The defendants filed special reports in compliance with the orders of this court in which they addressed the plaintiff's claims for relief. Specifically, the defendants submitted relevant evidentiary materials that refuted each of the allegations presented in the instant complaint. The court thereafter issued an order directing the plaintiff to file a response to the written reports. See Order of February 8, 2005 - Court Doc. No. 16. The plaintiff was advised that his failure to respond to the defendants' written reports would be treated by the court "as an abandonment of the claims set forth in the complaint and as a failure to prosecute this action." Id. at 1 (emphasis in original). Additionally, the plaintiff was "specifically cautioned that [his failure] to file a response in compliance with the directives of this order" would result in the dismissal of this civil action. Id. The time allotted the plaintiff for the filing of a response to the defendants' reports expired on February 28, 2005. As of the present date, the plaintiff has filed nothing in opposition to the

defendants' written reports as required by the orders of this court. In light of the foregoing, the court concludes that this case should be dismissed.

The court has reviewed the file in this case to determine whether a less drastic measure than dismissal is appropriate. After such review, it is clear that dismissal of this case is the proper course of action. The plaintiff is an indigent state inmate. Thus, the imposition of monetary or other punitive sanctions against him would be ineffectual. Additionally, the plaintiff has exhibited a lack of respect for this court and its authority as he has failed to comply with the directives of the orders entered in this case. It is therefore apparent that any additional effort by this court to secure the plaintiff's compliance would be unavailing. Moreover, the response and undisputed evidentiary materials filed by the defendants indicate that the plaintiff is not entitled to relief in this cause of action. Consequently, the court concludes that the plaintiff's abandonment of his claims, his failure to comply with the orders of this court and his failure to prosecute this cause of action warrant dismissal of this case.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be dismissed without prejudice. It is further

ORDERED that the parties shall file any objections to the said Recommendation within a period of 13 days from the date of mailing or transmittal to them. Any objections filed must specifically identify the findings in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to

Case 2:04-cv-01116-MEF-CSC Document 17 Filed 03/09/05 Page 3 of 3

which the party is objecting. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be

considered by the District Court. The parties are advised that this Recommendation is not

a final order of the court and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and advisements in the

Magistrate Judge's Recommendation shall bar the party from a de novo determination by the

District Court of issues covered in the Recommendation and shall bar the party from

attacking on appeal factual findings in the Recommendation accepted or adopted by the

District Court except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice. Nettles v.

Wainwright, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). See Stein v. Reynolds Securities, Inc., 667 F.2d

33 (11th Cir. 1982). See also Bonner v. City of Prichard, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981, en

banc), adopting as binding precedent all of the decisions of the former Fifth Circuit handed

down prior to the close of business on September 30, 1981.

Done this 9th day of March, 2005.

/s/Charles S. Coody

CHARLES S. COODY

CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

3